

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Examiner Interview 02/04/06 - 10/790,316

FEB 13 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
Haase, Richard A.

Filed: March 1, 2004

Title: Water Combustion Technology -
Methods, Processes, Systems and
Apparatus for the Combustion of
Hydrogen and Oxygen

§ Serial No.: 10/790,316
§ A continuation of PCT/US 03/11250
§ and PCT/US 03/41719
§
§ Claiming Priority of:
§ PCT/US 03/11250 filed 4/10/03,
§ PCT/US 03/41719 filed 10/11/03,
§ 60/447,880 filed 2/14/03,
§ 60/404,644 filed 8/19/02,
§ 60/379,587 filed 5/10/02, and
§ 60/371,768 filed 4/11/02;

Mail Stop: Amendments
The Honorable Commissioner for Patent and Trademarks
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By fax: (571) 273-8300

EXMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Please enter this examiner interview summary, as prepared by Applicant.

Page 1 of 2

Examiner Interview 02/04/06 - 10/790,318

In an effort to come to an agreement with the Examiner regarding the Examiner's 11/15/05 Office Action requiring Applicant to elect a generic claim set, Applicant had a telephone interview with the Examiner on 2/04/06.

As the USPTO has rather significant time requirements for patent examiners and as the instant invention is rather lengthy, the goal of said telephone interview was to agree on a set of claims for review by the Examiner in this instant invention application which would minimize any burden to the Examiner and sustain the rights of the Applicant.

After a lengthy discussion regarding the independent claims and the dependent claims of the instant invention in combination with the number of figures and the length of the specification in the instant invention, it was agreed between the Applicant and the Examiner that the Applicant will elect one of the independent/dependent claim sets from the pending application of the instant invention for prosecution in this proceeding and that Applicant will so forward said independent/dependent claim set to the Examiner in response to the Examiner's 11/15/05 Office Action. It was further agreed and is required of Applicant to provide for the Examiner in the Applicant's response to the Examiner's 11/15/05 Office Action a table including each claim elected, wherein said table provides the Examiner an enablement reference to the figure and the text within the specification of the instant invention for each claim elected.

Applicant and the Examiner noted that further divisional applications from this instant invention application may be filed by Applicant according to 37 CFR.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard A. Haase, Pro Se' Applicant

Date: February 13, 2006

Richard A. Haase
4402 Ringrose Drive
Missouri City, Texas 77459

Telephone: 281.261.9543
Facsimile: 281.261.6505

richard.haase@clearvalue.com

Page 2 of 2